could :

acoustically active portion of the electronic device."

Please amend claim 5 as follows:

In the first line of the claim, replace the number "2" with the number --3--.

Please amend claim 11 as follows:

In the third line of the claim, replace the words "at least one" with the word --the--.

REMARKS

Reexamination is hereby requested.

The examiner rejected claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and recited portions of claims 1, 5 and 11 as being indefinite. Claims 1, 5 and 11 have been amended to remove these bases for rejection.

The examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over Temple et al. (US 4,905,095). The examiner described Temple as disclosing a die "having a plurality of signal conductors (metallized layer 70)...located on the upper surface of the die" and a lid having "a plurality of lower surface signal conductor pads...located upon the lower surface of the lid"..."wherein each lower surface signal conductor

pad is also electrically connected to one of the signal conductor pads on the upper surface of the die...." Although Temple does disclose signal conductors located upon the upper surface of the die, it does not disclose "signal connector pads" located upon the upper surface of the die. Furthermore, Temple does not disclose electrical connections between the signal connector pads located upon the upper surface of the die and any signal connector pads located on the lower surface of the lid. Temple, instead, only discloses electrical connections between signal connector pads located on the lower surface of the lid and signal connector pads located upon the upper surfaces of the devices, which devices, in turn, are mounted upon the upper surface of the die.

Claim 1 (as amended) recites: "...each lower surface signal connector pad on the lid being electrically connected to one of the signal connector pads located upon the upper surface of the die..." Similarly, Claim 11 recites: "the lower surface signal connector pad on the lid being electrically connected to the signal connector pad located upon the upper surface of the die...." As a consequence, it is respectfully submitted that the disclosure in Temple does not render claims 1 and 11, and the claims dependent thereon, obvious.

As set forth in the preceding remarks, it is believed that the examiner's bases for rejecting the claims have been traversed, and allowance of claims 1 through 12 is hereby requested.

R

If the examiner has any questions, it would be appreciated if the examiner would contact G. Joseph Buck at (310) 540-8840.

No additional fee is seen to be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Buck

Registration No. 29,519 Attorney for Applicant

(310) 540-8840

Date: February 8, 2000

amnd0601.fed

X